

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,976	10/10/2003	George P. Hansen	TRIA:007CP1	2973
. 75	90 09/29/2005	EXAMINER		
-	an & peterman,	SELLERS, ROBERT E		
Building C, Sui 1101 Capital of	te 200 Texas Highway South	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78		1712	.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1				
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/683,976		HANSEN ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Robert Selle	i	1712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Se	eptember 20	<i>05</i> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	-	action is no							
3) Since this application is in cond	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) <u>115-127 and 173-190</u>	is/are pending i	in the applica	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>175-190</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>115-127, 173 and 174</u>)⊠ Claim(s) <u>115-127, 173 and 174</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner	r.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 									
* See the attached detailed Office Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	iew (PTO-948)	4	ed copies not received)	(PTO-413) te	D-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Claims 175-190 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 1, 2005.

The text of section 103(a) of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 115-127 and 173 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraft et al. Patent No. 4,760,103 in view of (Eadara Patent No. 5,198,065 or Japanese Patent No. 6-145630) and (O'Brill Patent No. 4,172,063 or Chinese Patent No. 1,057,849).

Claim 173 was inadvertently omitted from the rejection and is included herein.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the non-Final rejection mailed July 21, 2005. The arguments filed September 16, 2005 have been considered but are unpersuasive.

1. The epoxy adhesive Eadara and the Japanese patent is analogous art to the nonskid coating of Kraft et al. because Kraft et al. desires the nonskid coating to "maintain their adhesion to primed steel after severe impacts (col. 1, lines 37-38)." Thus, Kraft et al., Eadara and the Japanese patent are directed to epoxy resin compositions with aluminum oxide requiring adhesiveness.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,976

Art Unit: 1712

2. The objective of formulating an impact resistant coating in Kraft et al. does not preclude the efficacy of imparting flexibility via the incorporation of the epoxy-terminated polysulfide flexbilizer of Eadara. The flexibility would enhance the resistance to cracking and/or tearing of the coating of Kraft et al. The advantages of providing flexibility from an epoxide-containing toughening agent is acknowledged in the specification on page 13, lines 3-4 and page 14, lines 10-11.

Page 3

- 3. The teachings of a reference are not confined merely to named or exemplified species. Kraft et al. (col. 1, line 51) discloses fiber fillers in general which embrace the glass fibers of O'Brill and the Chinese patent. The presence of a thickener in the formulations of Kraft et al. does not compromise the motivation to incorporate a particular fiber filler such as the glass fibers of O'Brill and the Chinese patent in order to impart tensile strength, cracking and peeling resistance, impact resistance and shrinkage prevention (O'Brill, col. 2, lines 36-46 and col. 3, lines 21-25).
- 4. O'Brill is drawn to a coating on a cement substrate which is analogous to the coating of Kraft et al. wherein the sole different is the type of substrate to be coated. The composition of the Chinese patent is also utilized as a coating which is analogous to the coating of Kraft et al. regardless of the ultimate preferential property. The Chinese patent supplement the teachings of O'Brill in establishing the conventional expedient in the epoxy resin coating art of combining glass fibers with an epoxy resin, a rubber and a polyamide as set forth in Kraft et al.

Art Unit: 1712

rs 9/27/2005

Claims 119 and 174 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 115-118, 120-127 and 173 hereinabove, and further in view of Stahovic et al. Patent No. 5,990,234.

Claim 174 was inadvertently omitted from the rejection and is included herein. The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the non-Final rejection wherein Stahovic confirms the obviousness of adding a calculated amount of 4.4% by weight of an ultraviolet light absorber (col. 2, lines 35-42 such as the elected species of bis(1,2,21,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidinyl)sebacate (col. 4, lines 21-22).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

ROBERT E.L. SELLERS

PRIMARY EXAMINER